



Chapter 1

Building on Immigrant Parents' Repertoires

**Scaffolding Online Home-School Communication
in New Latin@ Diaspora Contexts**

Silvia Nogueroń-Liu, Deavours Hall, and Peter Smagorinsky

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42

Parental and family engagement in Latin@ and immigrant communities is often documented by educational researchers from strength and resource-based perspectives, in order to support more equitable home-school relationships for nonmainstream families (Delgado-Gaitán, 1993, 2001; Valdés, 1996; Zentella, 2005). Although research informed by ethnographic methods illuminates the rich home language and literacy practices in immigrant families (González, Moll, & Amanti, 2005), school and policy initiatives that position “parents as partners” may not sufficiently address the complexities of leveraging home resources in school, thus reproducing deficit discourses and beliefs about immigrants’ parental skills (Baquedano-López, Alexander, & Hernández, 2013).

This deficit approach can be greatly amplified by “digital divide” gaps in technology access in immigrant households. Research on the intersection of parental engagement and technology use remains understudied, given that Latin@ parents’ participation is still largely based on print-based practices (e.g., storytelling, family journals). As email and social media become new channels for home-school communication (Mitchell, Foulger, & Wetzel, 2009), it is crucial to document technology initiatives in school spaces for families who may need support in online forums (The Children’s Partnership, 2010; Fleming, 2012). This technological support meets a critical need for first-generation, Spanish-dominant immigrants, who are



1 less likely to engage in online activity than bilingual or English-dominant,
2 native-born Latin@s (López, González-Barrera, & Patten, 2013).

3 In this chapter, we analyze the online communication practices of
4 immigrant parents in a school-based family digital literacy program. This
5 program took place in the context of an elementary school in a Southeast-
6 ern U.S. school district within the New Latin@ Diaspora region, that is,

7

8 places that have not traditionally been home to Latinos and
9 where educators must manage language barriers, find ways to
10 support a new population, and work with families and students
11 to fully engage them in schooling. At the same time, precisely
12 because NLD schools typically don't have structures to support
13 such students, educators can be flexible and develop innovative
14 ways to meet the challenges. (Wortham, Clonan-Roy, Link, &
15 Martinez, 2013, n.p.)

16

17 In taking up this challenge, we focus on the available digital resources for
18 parents within the district, the experiences and resources parents mobilized
19 in their access to online communication, and the affordances and features of
20 such platforms in relation to immigrant parents' knowledge. The following
21 research questions guide our inquiry:

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

Parental Engagement

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

mandatory attendance to school events and communication reduced to memos or newsletters (Delgado-Gaitán, 2012).

In contrast, the *funds of knowledge* paradigm (Moll & Greenberg, 1990) involves the study of practices, skills, strategies, and bodies of information that are historically accumulated and culturally developed and are essential to a household's or community's functioning. The construct of funds of knowledge has often been trivialized to refer to anything that someone knows from outside school. Yet it is a fundamentally Marxist construct that refers more robustly to the ways in which cultural collectives share resources to advance the prospects and potential of their group, typically in a society in which they are positioned as being in deficit to dominant groups.

Moll and colleagues employed the term to account for the manner in which Southwestern Latin@ community members *acted communally to prosper as a group* in a broader culture that emphasized competition between both individuals and groups, for example, whites versus Latin@s, and individual students in school, along with their teachers. The research of Moll and colleagues found that cultural differences in how social groups manage knowledge—for example, through collective collaboration versus through hoarding by individuals—have consequences for how students perform in school, with Latin@ children who are acculturated to collective thinking struggling in schools characterized by individual competition. The notion of funds of knowledge, in contrast to this individualistic conception, views knowledge as a reservoir of resources designed to serve whole communities without benefiting any individuals over others.

A funds-of-knowledge approach has the potential to facilitate culturally responsive parent-school community connections (Delgado-Gaitán, 2012) by placing teachers in an ethnographic role in which they learn how Latin@ households and communities function. This knowledge enables teachers to better align their classroom practices with the ways in which children from immigrant families and communities—whose school failures are belied by the intelligence of the work they undertake at home—solve problems. A digest derived from research by Moll, Greenberg, and colleagues (ERIC Development Team, 1994) reports that many schools assume that “linguistically and culturally diverse working-class students do not emerge from households rich in social and intellectual resources. This inaccurate perception . . . has too often led to lowered academic expectations” (pp. 1–2).

In support of a more respectful and informed perspective on language-minority families and communities, we draw on two additional bodies of work that illuminate parents' alternate roles as actors and cultural critics:

1 *critical ethnography* and *activist ethnography* (Hurtig & Dyrness, 2011). Critical
2 ethnographies illuminate relationships of inequality and asymmetry among
3 families, communities, and institutions. Key foundational work has docu-
4 mented language and literacy socialization practices in the home. Similar
5 to Moll and colleagues, Valdés (1996) has found a disjuncture between the
6 values, beliefs, and perspectives of Mexican-origin parents in California and
7 the manner in which they are interpreted in mainstream school settings.
8 Zentella's (2005) edited collection examines the complex decision-making
9 that immigrant parents face in light of cultural transmission, language main-
10 tenance, and English-only ideologies, demonstrating the strengths of the
11 most stigmatized individuals in deficit discourses: immigrant Latina home-
12 makers who are perceived at school as being in need of intervention and
13 support to overcome the presumed absence of intellectual resources in their
14 childrearing (Baquedano-López, Alexander, & Hernandez, 2014).

15 Activist ethnographers collaborate with parents and community mem-
16 bers in order to critique and transform home-school-community relation-
17 ships. In their own work with urban parents, Hurtig and Dyrness (2011)
18 became coresearchers by organizing and researching home-school relations
19 among a small group of mothers (Dyrness, 2011) and through "parents
20 as writers" workshops in which stories and publications were presented
21 on family literacy nights (Hurtig, 2005), producing "ethnographies of
22 empowerment" (Delgado-Gaitán, 1993). Parental engagement informed by
23 participatory frameworks allows family members to become "critical part-
24 ners," welcomed in spaces where they can navigate educational systems in
25 inclusive ways (Olivos, Ochoa, & Jiménez-Castellanos, 2011). However, as
26 Baquedano et al. (2013) argue, such empowerment approaches are mostly
27 mediated by a third-party member such a researcher or facilitator, position-
28 ing parents as lacking the initiative and ability for critical inquiry or agency,
29 a problem in much critical theory (Cushman, 1999).

30 These approaches have illuminated the challenges Latin@ parents
31 and caregivers face in the New Latin@ Diaspora and their need to contest
32 deficit assumptions. Villenas' (2001) critical ethnography documented the
33 counter narratives of Latina mothers who, to resist and refute service pro-
34 fessionals' deficit assumptions, asserted their knowledge and home practices
35 as valid and appropriate educational approaches. As Villenas pointed out,
36 these mothers' resilience had implications for understanding the education
37 of a new generation of Latin@s in U.S. schools.

38 The study we report contributes to the emergent literature on parental
39 engagement in new migration contexts by looking closely at the *affordances*
40 *of online domains as mediating tools in home-school communication processes*.
41 The present analysis explores the technology needs of immigrant communi-
42 ties in the U.S. Southeast and the online affordances available to school

districts with a growing population of New Latin@ Diaspora parents and caregivers. 1
2
3

Parents' Linguistic Repertoires and Home-School Communication 4 5

This study focuses on the texts and means of communication in home-school relationships, with attention to the types and forms of texts exchanged, the content of these communications, and the specialized register of the "language of schooling." Delgado-Gaitán (2012) emphasizes these factors as relevant in efforts to reach and involve parents in the educational system. Like Gallo and Wortham (2012), we see the potential of a repertoire approach to parental engagement, considering how both immigrant parents and teachers in New Latin@ Diaspora contexts can benefit from expanding and building on their existing communicative resources. In Blommaert's (2010) framework of sociolinguistics of mobility, "repertoires" include multiple linguistic resources deployed in various spheres and communicative settings. A focus on repertoires is particularly helpful in *superdiverse* social environments, where cultural identities, practices, and norms shift constantly (Blommaert & Backus, 2013). In New Latin@ Diaspora contexts, immigrants may affiliate with groups and organizations in complex ways, depending on their mobility and networks based on employment, religion, neighborhood/location, and their maintenance of transnational ties with their nation or region of origin. 7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

Superdiversity also results in a condition of *polycentricity* in the norms that shape immigrants' social lives: the condition in which the resources that individuals mobilize are valued, understood, or adequate amid manifold and sometime conflicting norms that are established by multiple centers of authority (Blommaert, 2010). For instance, the Spanish register used in religious literacy practices may not hold the same value in the context of school-based communication about English literacy. In this study, a repertoire framework allows us to examine the particular language varieties, registers, and genres that are present in the "language of schooling" in online home-school communication that involves immigrant parents who are newcomers to digital platforms. 24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34

Method 35 36

Situational Context and Participants' Backgrounds 37 38 39

This study took place at a charter elementary school in a small city in the southeastern United States. Chavis Elementary (all names are pseudonyms) 40
41
42

1 was within its first five years of operation when the first author became
2 involved in collaborating with the family engagement specialist in programs
3 for parents. As mentioned earlier, the school had a high enrollment of
4 Latin@ students (67%) during 2012–2013 in comparison to district and
5 state demographics, and primarily served residents of nearby mobile home
6 communities. The opening of the school was itself a response to the chang-
7 ing population in the county, because its location allowed all the children
8 from emerging Latin@ neighborhoods to attend the same institution. The
9 family specialist (a bilingual social worker) at this school played a crucial
10 role in creating programs and opportunities for parents to access informa-
11 tion about the schooling system, and to receive the necessary translation
12 services in parent-teacher conferences and other academic events. How-
13 ever, district initiatives to increase technology and social media integration
14 created new challenges for a community that already faced obstacles in
15 language and cultural barriers.

16 In response to these new demands, the first and second authors, along
17 with a family engagement specialist, teachers, and participating parents,
18 collaboratively designed a technology program to support home-school rela-
19 tionships and to respond to parents' needs in their use of mobile and laptop
20 devices. Although the design of the study is informed by the paradigm and
21 intention of critical and participatory projects in Latin@ parental engage-
22 ment (e.g., Hurtig & Dyrness, 2011; Olivos et al., 2011), the first author did
23 not engage in a deliberate process of organizing, empowerment, or cultural
24 critique at the onset of this work. The methods build on an ethnographi-
25 cally informed design research process (Mehan, 2008), where we sought to
26 collaborate with practitioners to coconstruct a culturally sustaining (Paris,
27 2013) parent engagement program.

28 The 12 parent participants included two mothers (Mireya and Rox-
29 anna) with a long presence and established residence in the city and in the
30 district, and who were well-known by teachers and administrators because
31 of their frequent attendance to school events. One of them, Mireya, men-
32 tioned that her relatives were some of the first immigrant residents in the
33 county, from a small ranch in Guerrero, Mexico. She had lived in the focal
34 city for 16 years, and as she explained "*de mi rancho, hay más aquí que en*
35 *mi rancho*" [there are more people from my rancho here, than back there].
36 The other parents had lived in the U.S. for at least nine years; most had
37 migrated from small towns or *ranchos* in southwestern Mexico, and three
38 mothers were immigrants from Guatemala. Other than two who owned
39 small businesses, the parents did not use a computer for work or educa-
40 tion, spending time at home as full-time primary caregivers or as workers
41 in the cottage industry concerned with making and selling crafts. Most
42

used computers for web searches and social networking, and school-related online resources were new to most of them. As a first-generation Mexican immigrant herself, the first author shared a cultural and linguistic background with the majority of focal parents, and acted as a mediator between the academic literacy demands of school-based parental engagement and Latin@ parents' interests, needs, and concerns.

Data Collection and Analysis

A total of 34 two-hour sessions of technology classes for parents were conducted by the first author, with assistance from the second author, in the fall and 24 in the spring. Hence, in the interaction and instruction reported in the findings, references to "we" include the first author (facilitator/researcher) and the second author (graduate assistant). The third author's role followed this analytic process and primarily concerned assisting with the manuscript preparation. A total of 64 hours of interaction were audio-recorded, along with samples of screen activity and documents. Eight parent participants volunteered for an interview and household visit and for the analysis of the documents they produced in the program.

Field notes, websites, and documents produced by parents were coded to identify main digital practices occurring in the sessions. In this chapter we focus on *home-school communication*. We identified three sessions in which email-related activities were the focus of the class and transcribed the interactions. Our analysis focuses on the *process* of composing the texts and parents' interaction with the platforms mediating online communication. Additional data summarized from the teacher's survey, a parents' survey, and parents' interviews are included in the analysis, in order to show their perspectives about digital resources for communication.

Findings

We analyze three interactions centered on email-related activities. The first two interactions took place in the first sessions in the fall semester, and the third took place toward the end of the spring semester.

Instance 1: Joining the District Listserv

Eleven of the parents reported in an initial survey that their primary means of reaching teachers took place via the school family specialist. A few hoped to learn how to send messages to the teachers or the office, how to

1 learn about school events via email, and how to translate emails from the
2 school into Spanish. These respondents were already familiar with email
3 and wanted to use it for school purposes.

4 Per this request, email communication was one of the first activities
5 and required all participants to have a working email account. In our inter-
6 actions with parents, we learned that some of them received initial support
7 from a family member or an acquaintance to set up an email account on
8 their mobile device—hence, their initial access to email was mediated by
9 assistance from a more experienced person rather than set up independently.
10 Because their log-in information was saved in the device email application,
11 they were not familiar with the sign-in process or typing of their username
12 in a computer browser on their own. This activity was the first time they
13 accessed their email inbox on a laptop computer.

14 The first email activity involved joining the district and school email
15 listservs. School messages in these listservs were also available to parents
16 via a printed newsletter, translated and designed by the school family spe-
17 cialist, but the listserv provided an additional resource for communication.
18 From a repertoire perspective, listserv sign-up required familiarity with the
19 genre and functionality of online forms, for which parents required sup-
20 port. The following tasks needed to be performed successfully in order to
21 access the form:

- 22
- 23 1. Opening a web browser
- 24 2. Finding the school website in a search engine
- 25
- 26 3. Finding and clicking on the “Get connected” icon on the
27 school home page
- 28 4. Filling out a sign-up form in English, with their name, last
29 name, primary email address, and affiliation with the district
- 30
- 31 5. Checking off boxes with the name of each school’s lists of
32 interest
- 33 6. Completing a short antispam task (before submitting the
34 form)
- 35

36 It is relevant to note that a task that is often assumed to be conducted
37 individually by users who are comfortable with online forms, required very
38 explicit scaffolding and collaboration in each step of this process. We par-
39 ticularly focused on explaining the situated meanings of the terms “listserv,”
40 “sign up,” and “spam” in the context of online communication. These terms

41
42

were not part of parents' existing repertoires for communication. We drew 1
 from multiple emails that one of the participants received from stores as 2
 models, emphasizing that these messages had been sent from an automated 3
 system, not directly from a person. We thus relied on the experiences of 4
 a few parents in the class to explicitly address the features and functions 5
 of automated lists. 6

During the session parents were unable to draw on their first-language 7
 resources, because the form was available only in English, given our difficul- 8
 ties in getting online translators to work in many cases. Support was there- 9
 fore necessary in the translation of form items. Furthermore, we noticed 10
 that a few new email users were not familiar with the "grammar" of email 11
 addresses, as evidenced in Rosalinda's questions: 12

ROSALINDA: *¿Y tengo que poner arroba y esto [gmail.com]?* [Do I 14
 have to type the @ sign and this [gmail.com]?] 15

SILVIA: *Sí, siempre tiene que poner arroba.* [Yes, you always have 17
 to type the @ sign.] 18

ROSALINDA: *[after submitting the form] Ya me regresó; ¿por qué me 20
 salió esto? [Error message] [It sent me back; why did I get this 21
 [error message]?]* 22

SILVIA: *Algo no estaba completo [views her screen]. Oh, OK, tiene 24
 que ponerlo entero: gmail.com.* [Something was incomplete. Oh, 25
 OK, you need to write the whole thing: gmail.com.] *[parent 26
 typed "@gma"]* 27

This online form required the parents to mobilize linguistic and semi- 30
 otic resources that were not yet part of their repertoires and for them to 31
 register their email address using an accurate domain name (e.g., @gmail. 32
 com). Their past experiences shaped their access to these resources, so some 33
 of them required the scaffolding from peers and instructors in collective 34
 activity to successfully complete the task. After receiving explicit guided 35
 support in the navigation of online forms, parents were able to investigate 36
 and access similar institutional services, such as the Medicaid benefits for 37
 their children. Our process of making visible the platforms and messages 38
 that are usually accessed for individual purposes allowed all members of the 39
 group to understand the nuances of automated email messages. 40

1 Instance 2: Composing an Email Message to Teachers

2

3 A second form of email communication occurred between Spanish-domi-
4 nant parents and their children's teachers. Most teachers, on their surveys,
5 enthusiastically approved of how email messages worked to promote com-
6 munication, although one cautioned that contact via email "can be nega-
7 tive due to an inability to decipher tone." A few parents, however, wanted
8 to learn more about further ways to reach the teachers.

9 Given our experience with the listserv sign-up, we decided to facili-
10 tate an email composition activity in order to provide an authentic oppor-
11 tunity to write a message. We scheduled the email activity during the week
12 of parent-teacher conferences in case any misunderstandings needed to be
13 cleared. We showed the parents how to include the family specialist on their
14 first email (using the c/c email function) and provided support in translat-
15 ing this message, which was simple and short, focusing on basic structures
16 and greetings in English. Last, we provided parents with a printout of the
17 email composition interface in order for them to brainstorm and handwrite
18 their message before typing on the screen. Most parents' email interfaces
19 were set up for Spanish, so they were able to view the commands (such as
20 "Send" or "Compose") *en espańol* to bridge their correspondence to their
21 familiar language.

22 In this session, parents brainstormed questions they wanted to ask
23 teachers during the conference, and the first author categorized them on
24 the board, translating and explaining key words in their inquiries, for
25 example, *comportamiento*/behavior, *calificaciones/grades*, *tareas/homework*,
26 and *progreso/progress*. She further provided examples of genre features in
27 email composition and letter writing. However, she noticed that the layout
28 and design of the email interface led to confusion between the *Asunto*/
29 Subject line and the message body, which was clarified by both instructors
30 and parents with more expertise:

31

32 ROSALINDA: *Entonces esto que escribí [en Asunto], lo tengo que poner*
33 *abajo [in message body]. [Then what I wrote here [in subject], I*
34 *have to put right down there [in message body]*

35

36 LEONOR: *Oh, añadir, ¿soy la mamá de Carlos? ¿En asunto? [Oh,*
37 *I have to add, "I'm Carlos' mom?" In subject?]*

38

39 MIREYA: [to Leonor] *Pone: "Hola soy la mamá de Carlos" y abajo*
40 *[in message body] lo que quiere saber. [You write "Hi I'm Carlos'*
41 *mom" and down there [in message body] what you want to know.]*

42

SILVIA: *Esto no es todo el mensaje, solo cuatro o cinco palabras.* [It's not the whole message, just four or five words.]

LEONOR: *Se le podrá poner, por ejemplo: si me interesa la tarea de mi hijo, ¿puedo poner como asunto "Tarea"?* [Could I write, for instance: if I'm interested in my son's homework, could I write "Homework" as a subject?]

Email writing, as this exchange suggests, not only required the conventions of letter writing in print form, but also the understanding of the spatial layout and functions of boxes in the email interface. Parents drafted the content of their messages in Spanish, such as general inquiries about behavior and progress and specific questions about homework. Politeness, proper language use, and tone emerged as themes in these interactions. For Diana, making a good impression via a formal introduction was of utmost importance, requiring that she draw on her knowledge of the formal register in Spanish. She had mentioned in the previous session that she wanted to know how her son was behaving [*"cómo se está portando"*]. As she handwrote her email, she explained:

DIANA [to peer]: *Ella no me conoce . . . Le pongo mi apellido, porque se oye mejor, para que me identifique.* [She has not met me yet . . . I write my last name, because it sounds better, so that she knows who I am.]

SILVIA: [*reads aloud from Diana's screen*]: "Hola soy Diana Ramírez me gustaría saber cómo se porta mi hijo." ["Hello, I'm Diana Ramírez, I would like to know how my son behaves."]

DIANA: *Sí, porque así me conoce más.* [Yes, this way she can get to know me better.]

Diana made visible her concerns about making a good impression and ensuring her son's conduct in class. Her process revealed her use of the formal register and her awareness of the social function of the message. With the exception of Rosalinda—who already had a close relationship with her son's bilingual pre-K teacher—all the other parents received support translating short messages, with suggestions on sentence structure that reflected the level of formality they hoped to convey, for example, the use of modal auxiliaries such as "could" and "would." Although we had discussed the use of online translators, we encouraged parents to collaborate with us,

1 their ESL teachers, or others when struggling to compose messages. Mireya
 2 reported that she recruited the help of her son to translate the message for
 3 her that clarified her confusion about a field trip:

4

5 MIREYA: *Como por ejemplo yo no me acordaba donde iba a ser el*
 6 *paseo, y le mande un mensaje: “¿Maestra, podría recordarme donde*
 7 *es el paseo?”* [For instance, I did not remember where the field
 8 trip was going to be, and I sent [the teacher] a message: “Maestra,
 9 could you remind me where the field trip is?”]

10

11 SILVIA: *¿Y le contestó?* [Did she answer?]

12

13 MIREYA: *Sí, a la maestra de hace un año.* [Yes, it was last year’s
 14 teacher.]

15

16 SILVIA: *Que bueno que le pudo preguntar. ¿Y le preguntó en inglés?*
 17 [That is great that you could ask her. Did you ask in English?]

18

19 MIREYA: *Sí, mi hijo me escribió.* [Yes, my son wrote it.]

20

21 SILVIA: *¿Lo escribió y su hijo lo copió?* [Did you write it and your
 22 son copied it?]

23

24 MIREYA: *No, yo le iba diciendo y él le iba escribiendo. Y luego me*
 25 *contestó [la maestra].* [No, I was telling him, and he was writing.
 26 And she answered right away.]

27

28 Overall, a closer look at the email composition activity demonstrates
 29 the complex intersection of specialized knowledge (e.g., school register),
 30 genres (e.g., letter writing), navigation moves (e.g., across subject and mes-
 31 sage boxes), and formal/informal registers in two languages. A practice that
 32 is assumed to be conducted individually by adults on a daily basis required
 33 very explicit and collaborative orchestration of linguistic, multimodal, and
 34 social resources for new email users. The features of this format facilitat-
 35 ed courteous communication about concerns on academics and behavior.
 36 However, these exchanges also suggest that email features may become
 37 barriers if messages are composed and sent individually or with minimal
 38 support. In this particular interaction, parents and instructors pooled their
 39 knowledge about courteous communication, issues to discuss, and anecdotes
 40 about ways to compose emails at home, with the support of bilingual family
 41 members.

42

| | |
|---|--|
| Instance 3: Composing Group Email Invitation to School Staff | 1 |
| | 2 |
| This last instance showcases the collaborative writing of an email invitation, drafted in Spanish toward the end of the course when parents were preparing their individual project slide shows. Since the audience of the presentation included school teachers and principals, we needed to compose an email invitation for the last class session. The first author guided the composition of the message in Spanish, prompting parents to contribute ideas: | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 |
| SILVIA: <i>Los invitamos a--</i> [We invite you to--] | 10 11 |
| MIREYA: <i>¿Nuestra presentación?</i> [Laughter] [Our presentation?] | 12 13 |
| SILVIA: <i>Nuestra presentación.</i> [Our presentation.] | 14 15 |
| OLGA: <i>De el ultimo día.</i> [On our last day.] | 16 17 |
| SILVIA: <i>De el ultimo día de clases. Vamos a mostrar—¿qué es lo que vamos a mostrar?</i> [On our last day of class. We will show—what will we show?] | 18 19 20 21 |
| OLGA: <i>Lo que hemos aprendido.</i> [What we have learned.] | 22 23 |
| As the parents continued to brainstorm, the first author suggested giving examples of the learning they would share, along with a book review project they had worked on. The parents listed a few of the highlights, such as text editing skills, library searching, and their attendance and participation at a children's book author's visit: | 24 25 26 27 28 29 |
| SILVIA: <i>Para explicarles lo que se va a tratar, por ejemplo . . . ¿si tuvieran que escoger una cosa? . . . Una cosa que usted diría, esto aprendí yo. Diana, ¿qué cosa se acuerda [que aprendió]?.</i> [So we can explain to them what this will be about . . . if you could pick something? . . . Something that you could say, this is what I've learned. Diana, something you remember [learning]?.] | 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 |
| DIANA: <i>Lo de las fotos. . . Como pegar imágenes. Aprendimos este, lo de las letras, mas grandes, mas pequeñas.</i> [About the photos. . . How to paste images. We learned about fonts, larger, smaller.] | 37 38 39 40 41 42 |

1 SILVIA: *Editar texto. Ajá. Olga, ¿que más pondría?* [Editing text.
2 Aha. Olga, what else would you add?]

3
4 OLGA: *Como leer los libros en línea. O pedirlos en línea. A la biblioteca que esté mas cerca.* [How to read books online. Or how
5 to request them online. From your closest library.]
6

7
8 SILVIA: *¿Y Mireya?* [And Mireya?]

9
10 MIREYA: *Yo mencionaría la visita de la [autora]* [I would mention
11 the visit of [book author].]
12

13 In this list, Diana and Olga drew on their new digital repertoires.
14 Although writing the invitation required a summary of the presentation,
15 the drafting of this text mediated parents' brainstorming of content for this
16 event with attention to the audience of the school staff. In the closing of
17 the letter, Diana and Olga contributed lines common in letter writing in
18 Spanish. Diana's line is usually found in invitations to birthday parties or
19 more informal events, while Olga's is more common in the formal com-
20 munications from institutions such as school:

21
22 SILVIA: [*types and reads aloud*] *"Tendremos un pequeño convivio."*
23 *¿Algo más que quieran decir?* ["We will have a small gathering."
24 Anything else you'd like to say?]
25

26 DIANA: *Los esperamos. Que no falten.* [We look forward to seeing
27 you. Make sure you come.]
28

29 OLGA: *Que confirmen su asistencia.* [Please confirm your
30 attendance.]
31

32 [Laughter]
33

34 By drawing on their repertoires about formal and informal letter
35 writing, Diana and Olga emphasized their expectation of attendance to
36 their event, balancing a welcoming note with an appropriately formal
37 tone. Through this collective message composition, parents decided which
38 resources to feature, while simultaneously drawing on their knowledge and
39 goals to address school staff. This message then served as a means to estab-
40 lish goals and expectations for the last class presentation and parents' roles
41 as "hosts" of the event. Hence, this email message encapsulated aspects of
42

parents' experience in the course and reversed the roles by inviting teachers to a meeting featuring parents' voices and knowledge.

Bridging Repertoires in New Geographical and Digital Domains

This chapter investigates the multiple linguistic resources that Spanish-dominant parents, with various levels of experience with technology, were able to mobilize and acquire in a school-based technology program. We found that when a wide range of Spanish, print-based, and digital resources were incorporated in interactions, parents who were newcomers to online communication received the necessary support to engage in these new practices. The affordances and constraints of digital platforms served as mediational means in home-school communication, supported in a collaborative setting for parents for whom individual access to online resources at home would be difficult. Parents with varied technology expertise coconstructed digital texts, with the scaffolding provided by the first author and by those parents with online experience, in order to reposition and extend their involvement and participation in school discourse.

Our findings suggest the importance of engaging in culturally sustaining (Paris, 2012) efforts designed to support Latin@ parental engagement via technology, an important literacy practice in the unfolding century. The potential of community and after-school technology programs is evident in *La Clase Mágica* settings in which bilingual Latin@ students engage in interaction with online games and communication with peers and mentors, mediated by their first and second language resources (Bustos Flores, Vásquez, & Riojas-Clarke, 2014; Martínez-Roldán & Smagorinsky, 2011; cf. Cole, 1996, for the Fifth Dimension model on which Vásquez, 2002, based her development of *La Clase Mágica*). Implemented in the U.S. Southwest, this model involves the design of bilingual digital content, and the organization of social arrangements for mentoring and learning activities.

The Texas-based iteration of this model added a family engagement component through participatory *talleres* [workshops] (Machado-Casas, 2012), where parents made decisions about the workshop content aligned with their transnational and family practices. This chapter illuminates the complexities of a related effort within a single Southeastern community and school system, where academic goals and school-produced texts served as mediational means in parents' emergent understanding and sense-making of both digital platforms and the specialized language and content related to school activities. Furthermore, these interactions demonstrate the relevance of the social settings, interactions, and relationships where digital tools are

1 discussed and examined. Such interactions allowed us to make transpar-
2 ent those processes that are usually conducted in individual or “personal”
3 devices; in these sessions, parents volunteered relevant knowledge and ideas
4 that supported the needs of all members in the group.

5 In our analysis of this small school-based program, we underscore the
6 critical importance of alliance building and collective action in ethno-
7 graphic work in new migration settings (Villenas, 2007) such as the New
8 Latin@ Diaspora in which changing configurations of school demographics,
9 neighborhood mobility, and communication practices require collaboration
10 across multiple stakeholders. This explicitly planned and executed joint
11 effort illustrates the funds of knowledge construct in its attention to how
12 Latin@ parents are attuned to collaborative problem-solving and sharing of
13 knowledge and resources to improve their collective circumstances. In con-
14 trast, many U.S. institutions and people take a “sink or swim” position on
15 immigrants that assumes that competitive individuals will seek advantages
16 and use them to get ahead of others in pursuit of the American dream.
17 Our study contributes to the body of work that asserts that other forms
18 of this dream, and other means of pursuing it, are available to immigrants
19 more oriented to collectivist thinking.

20 By both drawing on and expanding parents’ repertoires, the workshop
21 provided communicative tools through which parents could adapt to U.S.
22 expectations for family involvement in their children’s education. Ideally,
23 these tools will in turn be shared as a community resource in the immigrant
24 families’ efforts to help their children persist in school, a challenge given
25 their disproportionate dropout rates and the isolation of Latin@ families
26 in segregated trailer park communities in this city and others in the New
27 Latin@ Diaspora.

28 Reductionist and deficit discourses remain in place in policies and
29 institutions in relation to Latin@ cultural practices and parenting approach-
30 es. Initiatives such as the workshop we report have potential for transform-
31 ing existing school power dynamics (Baquedano-López et al., 2014), relying
32 on researchers’ understanding of the goals and priorities of participants
33 (Mehan, 2008). When individualistic institutions incorporate new popula-
34 tions from collectivist societies, all responsibility for adaptation tends to
35 be placed on the newcomers (Portes & Smagorinsky, 2010), who are often
36 demonized for their difficulties in adapting (Acosta, 2013; Faltis, 2013).
37 In this chapter we have illustrated how a program that uses collabora-
38 tive methods to introduce parents to the individual responsibilities they
39 are expected to adopt may provide immigrant families with tools through
40 which they may become more independently involved in their children’s
41 education. Assuming that they will make such a great adaptation on their
42

own, in a new language and culture, virtually insures that their children will be denied access to educational opportunities. We offer this study and its relational orientation (Nieto, 2013) as one possible means through which more effective acculturation, grounded in home and community culture, may be undertaken.

References

- Acosta, C. (2013). Pedagogies of resiliency and hope in response to the criminalization of Latin@ students. *Journal of Language and Literacy Education* [Online], 9(2), 63–71. Available at <http://jolle.coe.uga.edu/wp-content/uploads/2012/04/PedagogiesOfResiliency2.pdf>
- Baquedano-López, P., Alexander, R. A., & Hernandez, S. J. (2013). Equity issues in parental and community involvement in schools: What teacher educators need to know. *Review of Research in Education*, 37(1), 149–182.
- Baquedano-López, P., Hernandez, S., & Alexander, R. (2014). Thinking through the decolonial turn in research and praxis: Advancing new understandings of the community-school relation in Latina/o parent involvement. In P. Portes, S. Salas, P. Baquedano-López, & P. Mellom (Eds.), *U.S. Latinos and education policy: Research-based directions for change* (pp. 16–34). New York, NY: Routledge.
- Blommaert, J. (2010). *The sociolinguistics of globalization*. New York, NY: Cambridge University Press.
- Blommaert, J., & Backus, A. (2013). Superdiverse repertoires and the individual. In I. Saint-Georges & J. J. Weber (Eds.), *Multilingualism and multimodality: Current challenges for educational studies* (pp. 11–32). Boston, MA: Sense.
- Bustos Flores, B., Vásquez, O., & Riojas Clark, E. (2014). ¡Adelante! El Mundo Nuevo: Educating the new generation of the twenty-first century. In B. Bustos Flores, O. Vásquez, & E. Riojas Clark (Eds.), *Generating transworld pedagogy: Reimagining La clase mágica* (pp. 3–16). Blue Ridge Summit, PA: Lexington Books.
- The Children's Partnership. (October, 2010). *Empowering parents through technology to improve the odds for children*. Santa Monica, CA: Digital Opportunity for Youth Brief. Retrieved from <http://www.childrenspartnership.org/storage/documents/Publications/TCP-ParentTech-LowRezFinal.pdf>
- Cole, M. (1996). *Cultural psychology: A once and future discipline*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Cushman, E. (1999). Critical literacy and institutional language. *Research in the Teaching of English*, 33, 245–274.
- Delgado-Gaitán, C. (1993). Researching change and changing the researcher. *Harvard Educational Review*, 63(4), 389–412.
- Delgado-Gaitán, C. (2001). *The power of community: Mobilizing for family and schooling*. Lanham, MD: Rowman & Littlefield.

- 1 Delgado-Gaitán, C. (2012). Culture, literacy, and power in family–community–
2 school–relationships. *Theory Into Practice*, 51(4), 305–311.
- 3 Dyrness, A. (2011). *Mothers united: An immigrant struggle for socially just education*.
4 Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.
- 5 ERIC Development Team. (1994). *Funds of knowledge: Learning from language minority*
6 *households*. Washington, DC: ERIC Digests, ED367146. Retrieved from
7 <http://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/ED367146.pdf>
- 8 Faltis, C. (2013). Eradicating borders: An exploration of school artistry for embrac-
9 ing Mexican immigrant children and youth in education. *Journal of Language*
10 *and Literacy Education* [Online], 9(2), 50–62. Available at <http://jolle.coe.uga.edu/wp-content/uploads/2012/04/EradicatingBorders2.pdf>
- 11 Fleming, N. (November 7, 2012). Schools are using social networking to involve
12 parents. *Education Week*, 32(11), 16–17. Retrieved from http://www.edweek.org/ew/articles/2012/11/07/11digitalparent_ep.h32.html
- 13 Gallo, S., & Wortham, S. (2012). Latino parent perspectives on New Latino
14 Diaspora schools. *International Journal of Multicultural Education*, 14(2), 1–17.
- 15 González, N., Moll, L., & Amanti, C. (2005). Introduction: Theorizing practices.
16 In N. González, L. Moll, & C. Amanti (Eds.), *Funds of knowledge: Theoriz-*
17 *ing practices in households, communities and classrooms* (pp. 1–24). Mahwah,
18 NJ: Erlbaum.
- 19 Hurtig, J. (2005). Mexican mothers retelling the city: Stories from the “parents as
20 writers” workshop. *City & Society*, 17(2), 235–264.
- 21 Hurtig, J., & Dyrness, A. (2011). Parents as critical educators and ethnographers
22 of schooling. In B. A. Levinson & M. Pollock (Eds.), *A companion to the*
23 *anthropology of education* (pp. 530–546). Hoboken, NJ: Wiley-Blackwell.
- 24 López, M. H., González-Barrera, A., & Patten, E. (2013). *Closing the digital divide:*
25 *Latinos and technology adoption*. Washington, DC: Pew Hispanic Center.
- 26 Machado-Casas, M. (2009). The politics of organic phylogeny: The art of parenting
27 and surviving as transnational multilingual Latino indigenous immigrants in
28 the U.S. *High School Journal*, 92(4), 82–99.
- 29 Machado-Casas, M. (2012). Globalized students vs. unglobalized families: Limiting
30 family participation in education. *AMAE Journal*, 6(2), 4–12.
- 31 Martínez-Roldán, C. M., & Smagorinsky, P. (2011). Computer-mediated learning
32 and young Latino/a students’ developing expertise. In P. Portes & S. Salas
33 (Eds.), *Vygotsky in the 21st century society: Advances in cultural historical theory*
34 *and praxis with non-dominant communities* (pp. 162–179). New York, NY: Peter
35 Lang.
- 36 Mehan, H. (2008). Engaging the sociological imagination: My journey into design
37 research and public sociology. *Anthropology & Education Quarterly*, 39(1),
38 77–91.
- 39 Mitchell, S., Foulger, T. S., & Wetzel, K. (2009). Ten tips for involving families
40 through Internet-based communication. *Young Children*, 44, 46–49.
- 41 Moll, L. C., & Greenberg, J. (1990). Creating zones of possibilities: Combining
42 social contexts for instruction. In L. C. Moll (Ed.), *Vygotsky and education:*

- Instructional implications and applications of sociohistorical psychology* (pp. 319–348). New York, NY: Cambridge University Press.
- Nieto, S. (2013). Language, literacy, and culture: Aha! Moments in personal and sociopolitical understanding. *Journal of Language and Literacy Education* [Online], 9(1), 8–20. Available at <http://jolle.coe.uga.edu/wp-content/uploads/2013/05/Language-Literacy-Culture.pdf>
- Olivos, E., Ochoa, A., & Jiménez-Castellanos, O. (2011). Critical voices in bicultural parent engagement: A framework for transformation. In E. Olivos, O. Jiménez-Castellanos, & A. Ochoa (Eds.), *Bicultural parent engagement: Advocacy and empowerment* (pp. 1–17). New York, NY: Teachers College Press.
- Paris, D. (2012). Culturally sustaining pedagogy: A needed change in stance, terminology, and practice. *Educational Researcher*, 41(3), 93–97.
- Portes, P., & Smagorinsky, P. (2010). Static structures, changing demographics: Educating for shifting populations in stable schools. *English Education*, 42, 236–248. Available at <http://www.petersmagorinsky.net/About/PDF/EE/EE2010.pdf>
- Valdés, G. (1996). *Con respeto: Bridging the distances between culturally diverse families and schools, an ethnographic portrait*. New York, NY: Teachers College Press.
- Vásquez, O. A. (2002). *La clase mágica: Imagining optimal possibilities in a bilingual community of learners*. Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Villenas, S. (2001). Latina mothers and small-town racism: Creating narratives of dignity and moral education in North Carolina. *Anthropology & Education Quarterly*, 32(1), 3–28.
- Villenas, S. (2007). Diaspora and the anthropology of Latino education: Challenges, affinities, and intersections. *Anthropology & Education Quarterly*, 38(4), 419–425.
- Wortham, S., Clonan-Roy, K., Link, H., & Martinez, C. (2013, March 29). Scattered challenges, singular solutions: The New Latino Diaspora. *Education Week*. Retrieved from http://www.edweek.org/ew/articles/2013/03/01/kappan_wortham.html
- Zentella, A. C. (2005). Premises, promises and pitfalls of language socialization research in Latino families and communities. In A. C. Zentella (Ed.), *Building on strength: Language and literacy in Latino families and communities* (pp. 13–30). New York, NY: Teachers College Press.